

**RESPONSE OF CHICKPEA TO LEVELS OF ZINC AND PHOSPHORUS**

**ANIL KUMAR SHARMA, B.P.S. RAGHUBANSHI\* AND PAWAN SIROTHIA**

Department of Soil Science, M.G.C.G.V.V., Chirakoot (Satna) M.P. 485 331

Received: September, 2013; Revised accepted: April, 2014

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is the major pulse crop of India. At global level, it ranks fifth in terms of area and production under legumes. It is grown with less care and less manurial requirement. Application of phosphorus and zinc are increased the pulse production. The response of phosphorus and zinc depends upon many factors like climate, variety and soil type, pH, nutrient availability etc. during the growth. The requirement of phosphorus in leguminous crop like chickpea is higher than other crops for their root development and metabolic activities. In recent years, zinc deficiency has been aggravated in Indian soils due to tremendous increase in cropping intensity and adoption of cultivation of high yielding varieties. Zinc is essential for promoting certain metabolic reactions. It is necessary for the production of chlorophyll and carbohydrates. Zinc is directly or indirectly required by several enzyme systems, auxin and protein synthesis. Zinc is believed to promote RNA synthesis, which in turn is needed for protein production. At several places normal yield of crops could not be achieved despite judicious use of NPK fertilizers due to deficiency of micronutrients in soil, in general, that of Zn in particular. A favourable balance between phosphorus and zinc should be maintained for optimum growth of plant. The information on Zn and P relationship in an

important crop like chickpea is not adequate; especially in situations where both the interacting nutrients (P and Zn) are deficient in soil. Hence, the present investigation was conducted to study the effect of zinc and phosphorus on growth, yield, uptake and quality of chickpea crop.

A field experiment was conducted during *rabi* 2010 - 2011 at M.G.C.G.V.V. farm, Chirakoot (M.P.). The experimental soil was sandy clay-loam in texture and laid out in factorial randomized design replicated three times. The treatment comprises 4 levels of zinc [0, 10, 20 and 30 kg Zn  $SO_4$  ha<sup>-1</sup>] and five levels of phosphorus [0, 25, 40, 55 and 70 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>]. The experimental soil was sandy clay loam in texture, slightly alkaline in reaction, having electrical conductivity 0.3 dS/m at 25°C. Soils were poor in available nitrogen (168 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), phosphorus (20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), rich in available potash (370 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), DTPA Zn (0.45 mg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and organic carbon (0.45 %). Before and after experiment, the soils samples were collected and analyzed for pH, electrical conductivity, and organic carbon, available N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>O and DTPA-Zn were analyzed. After harvest of crop yield were recorded and uptake of zinc and phosphorus were determined. Protein content in seed was also determined as per standard procedure.

Table 1: Growth, yield, and quality of chickpea as affected by levels of zinc and phosphorus

Treatments	Growth characters			Yield attributes		Yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )		Protein content (%)
	Plant height (cm)	Primary branches/plant	Secondary branches/plant	Pods /plant	Test weight (g)	Seed	Straw	
Zinc sulphate (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )								
0	44.9	7.5	25.7	62.9	15.0	18.0	33.2	20.7
10	46.4	7.7	26.5	65.0	15.5	18.6	34.3	21.3
20	47.9	8.0	27.4	67.0	16.0	19.2	35.4	22.0
30	48.7	8.1	27.8	68.2	16.2	19.5	36.0	22.4
CD (P=0.05)	1.22	0.21	0.68	1.71	0.41	0.49	0.89	0.57
Phosphorus (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )								
0	44.3	7.4	25.3	62.1	14.8	17.7	32.8	20.4
25	45.9	7.6	26.2	64.2	15.3	18.3	33.9	21.1
40	47.2	7.9	26.9	66.0	15.7	18.9	34.9	21.7
55	48.4	8.1	27.6	67.7	16.1	19.4	35.8	22.3
70	49.2	8.2	28.1	68.8	16.4	19.7	36.4	22.6
CD (P=0.05)	1.17	0.58	0.67	1.64	0.39	0.47	0.87	0.53

\* RVSKVV, KVK, Morena (M.P.)

Yield and growth characters like plant height, primary and secondary branches/plant, pods/plant, test weight and seed and straw yield of chickpea were significantly influenced by the dose of zinc and phosphorus. Increasing dose of zinc increases the growth and yield characters up to 30 kg Zn So<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>. However, significant response was noted up to 20 kg Zn So<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>. Similarly, application of phosphorus increases the characters up to 70 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> but the significant response was noted up to 55 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. There was no significant difference between 20 and 30 kg zinc sulphate ha<sup>-1</sup> and 70 and 55 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 1). It might be due to supply of both nutrient in soil and their synergistic effect on other nutrients increases the yield and growth character. The results

confirm the findings of Pathak *et al.* (2003) and Karwasra and Kumar (2007).

#### Quality

Protein content was significantly influenced by different doses of zinc and phosphorus (Table 1). Highest protein content was recorded at with 30 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> but it was at par with 20 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup>. Similarly, highest protein content was recorded under 70 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> and it was at par with 55 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>. The beneficial effect of zinc and phosphorus levels on protein content may be due to the increase in cation exchange capacity of the roots which would enable to plant extracts more nutrients from soil. Pathak *et al.* (2003) reported similar findings.

Table 2: Nutrient uptake by crop and status of plants Zn in soil as affected by different levels of zinc and phosphorus

Treatments	Zinc uptake (g ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Phosphorus uptake (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Available zinc (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Available P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	B:C ratio
Zinc Sulphate (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )					
0	52.7	23.8	0.38	21.4	5.3
10	56.3	25.4	0.40	20.4	5.5
20	59.9	27.1	0.43	19.4	6.5
30	61.9	28.0	0.45	18.9	6.6I
CD (P=0.05)	2.98	1.35	0.024	0.85	-
Phosphorus (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )					
0	57.1	23.2	0.47	18.1	5.5
25	58.1	24.8	0.44	19.1	5.7
40	58.2	26.2	0.41	20.1	6.4
55	58.1	27.6	0.39	21.1	6.5
70	57.1	28.5	0.37	21.6	6.6
CD (P=0.05)	NS	1.29	0.025	0.81	-

#### Nutrient status, uptake and balance sheet

Uptake of zinc and phosphorus significantly influenced by different zinc and phosphorus (Table 2). Increasing dose of zinc significantly increases the uptake of zinc by chickpea crop. It is well established fact that the application of zinc in soil increases the uptake of zinc by plants. It is well established fact that the Zn present in water soluble, exchangeable and complexed fractions contributed maximum to the plant uptake. Results confirm the finding of Akay (2011). Uptake of zinc is not influenced by the increasing dose of phosphorus. It may be due to the fact that zinc had antagonistic effect with phosphorus. Increasing dose of zinc increases the uptake of phosphorus. It may be due to in spite of antagonistic effect higher yield of chickpea increases the uptake of phosphorus. Phosphorus uptake increases with increasing level of phosphorus. It is well established fact that the application of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> in soil increases the uptake of P by plants. Tomar and Tiwari (2005) also

reported that application of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> significantly increased uptake of phosphorus. Increasing dose of nitrogen increases the DTPA – Zn of Soil. However, it was decreases with increase in available phosphorus content. On the other hand, available phosphorus has antagonistic effect with zinc. Highest build-up of Zinc was observed under 30 kg Zn So<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> and 0 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>. Phosphorus build-up was recorded contrary to the zinc. Increasing dose of either zinc or phosphorus increases the beneficial ratio of chickpea. Zinc beyond 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and phosphorus beyond 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> given high B:C ratio. The result confirms the finding of Singh *et al.* (2005) and Deshmukh *et al.* (2005). The highest seed, straw yield and protein content of chickpea was recorded due to application of Zn So<sub>4</sub> @ 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> @ 70 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> but the significant response was up to Zn So<sub>4</sub> @ 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> 55 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The maximum gross return, net return and B:C Ratio were highest under 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 70 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>.

**REFERENCES**

- Akay, A. (2011) 'Effect of zinc fertilizer applications on yield and element contents of some registered chickpeas varieties' *African Journal of Biotechnology* **10** (61): 13090 – 13096
- Karwasra, R. S. and Kumar, Anil (2007) Effect of phosphorus and zinc application on growth, biomass and nutrient uptake by chickpea in calcareous soils, *Haryana Journal of Agronomy* **23**: 111 – 112
- Pathak, Satyajit, Namdeo, K.N., Chakrawarti, V.K. and Tiwari, R.K. (2003) 'Effect of biofertilizers, diammonium phosphate and zinc sulphate on growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.)' *Crop Research* **26** (1): 42– 46
- Tomar, S.S. and Tiwari, R.J. (2005) 'Response of mustard varieties to fertility levels under normal sown condition' *Research on Crop Journal* **6**: 214 – 216
- Singh, A.K., Bhagwan Singh and Singh, H. C. (2005) Response of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) to fertilizer phosphorus and zinc application under rainfed condition of eastern Uttar Pradesh, *Indian Journal of Dryland Agricultural Research and Development* **20**: 114 – 117.