

Effects of microbial biopesticides against non-target insect pest

POOJA BHARADWAZ¹, BHARAT CHANDRA NATH^{1,2*}, RUDRA NARAYAN BORKAKATI³,
RAJASHREE CHETIA¹, SWAGATA SAIKIA¹, POPY BORA^{4,5}, PRANABA NANDA
BHATTACHARYYA⁶, ANURAG KASHYAP¹, SUPRIYA SHARMA¹ AND ABHILISA MUDOI²

¹Department of Plant Pathology, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat-785013, Assam, India

Received, July, 2023; Revised accepted, May, 2024

ABSTRACT

Microbial biopesticides are considered as an eco-friendly strategy for pest management in organic and integrated production systems. However, questions have been raised on non-target effect of microbial bioagents on natural enemies. The Present study, hence addresses the gap in our understanding on the effect of bioformulations on natural enemies as parasites and predators. Microbial biopesticides developed at AAU, Jorhat with bioagents viz., *Trichoderma viride*, *T. harzianum*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, *Beauveria bassiana*, *Metarhizium anisopliae*, *Lecanicillium lecanii*, *Bacillus thuringiensis* were tested in both field and pot conditions at the prescribed concentrations against non-target insect pest. In the field experiment of tomato crop, the coccinellid count after 1st and 2nd sprays of different biopesticides showed no statistically significant difference as compared to the control at 3, 7 and 10 days after spraying. Similarly, in the pot experiment of okra crop, it was observed that there was no statistically significant difference in coccinellid beetles between the control pot and the treated pots, both after 1st and 2nd spray of biopesticides at 3, 7 and 10 days after spraying. In both cases, the population of coccinellids, the pests' natural adversaries, was unaffected negatively by the biopesticides.

Keywords: Beneficial microbes, biopesticides, eco-friendly, non-target insects

INTRODUCTION

With the growing awareness about health and environmental conditions, farming by organic means, without using any harmful agrochemicals is gaining popularity and momentum across the world and growing as a fast effective source of income generation in mostly rural areas (Mie *et al.*, 2017). There is a high demand for organic food in both domestic and international markets which is growing about (20-25) % annually; as a result, the area under organic farming has been increasing consistently (Das, 2020, Bora *et al.*, 2024). Bio pesticides are gaining popularity due to their eco-friendly nature and accuracy in managing pests and diseases of vegetable crops (Riddick *et al.*, 2009; Bora *et al.*, 2015; Nath *et al.*, 2016; Bora and Bora, 2020; Bora and Rahman, 2022). These biopesticides are derived from natural resources and are thus organic means of pest management that can be an important and useful component of integrated pest and disease management strategy. Most entomopathogenic fungi have wider ranges of hosts (Melnick *et al.*, 2009; Bhattacharyya *et al.*, 2023; Borkakati *et al.*, 2023), and utilization of

microorganisms of such type to manage pests and insects may involve lots of risk factors towards the growth and development of predatory insects that are of much importance in the biological control of pests (Bhattacharyya *et al.*, 2024). Therefore, before their application in the farmer's field, their effect on the natural enemies needs to be known, so research is of utmost importance (Deka *et al.*, 2021). Natural enemies, including predators, are crucial for controlling pest populations in agriculture and natural ecosystems. By preying they help maintain ecological balance, reduce crop damage, and minimize the need for chemical pesticides, thus promoting sustainable pest management practices. Their targeted approach to pest suppression and ability to adapt to changing pest dynamics make them invaluable assets in integrated pest management strategies (Borkakati *et al.*, 2018). Natural enemies, coccinellids play a significant role in controlling pests in both tomato and okra crops. They are voracious predators of aphids, scale insects, and other soft-bodied pests that can infest these plants. By feeding on these pests, coccinellids help to maintain the health and productivity of

²AICRP on Seed (Crops), Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat-785013, Assam, ³AAU-Zonal Research Station, Shillongani, Nagaon, Assam, ⁴AAU-Assam Rice Research Institute, Titabar-785630, Jorhat, Assam, ⁵Biocontrol Laboratory, DBT-North East Centre for Agricultural Biotechnology, Jorhat, India, ⁶Department of Botany, Nanda Nath Saikia College, Titabar-785630, Jorhat, Assam, India. *Corresponding author email: Email: bharatcnath@aau.ac.in

tomato and okra plants, reducing the need for chemical pesticides and promoting sustainable pest management practices (Ghose, 2022; Ambethgar *et al.*, 2024). With this knowledge and back ground, our present study was conducted to evaluate the bioefficacy of certain biopesticides developed by Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat against the non-target, beneficial natural enemies found in a natural ecosystem of tomato and Okra crops. *Beauveria bassiana* was reported safe to natural enemies and found to be harmless against beneficial soilinsects whereas *Metarhizium anisopliae* showed 10% and 4% mortality rates when used against beneficial insects (Thungrabeab, 2006; Bhattacharyya *et al.*, 2022). Amichot *et al.* (2016) reported the biosafety of *Bacillus thuringiensis* bio-formulations on the emerging *Trichogramma chilonis* and confirmed that *Bacillus* is safe against *Trichogramma*. Agale *et al.* (2019) conducted a detailed study on the effect of selected biopesticides on natural enemies in the pigeon-pea (*Cajanus cajan* L.) crop and the field experiment revealed that all the selected bio pesticides treatments were found to be safer for the natural enemies which even helped in enhancing the activity of natural enemies' population in the pigeon-pea crop field.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Source of biopesticides

Several biopesticides, including Bioveer, Biozium, Biomonas, Biobt, Biosona, Biometa, Biollium, Biotime, and Biogreen-5 developed by the Biocontrol Laboratory, Department of Plant Pathology under DBT- North East Centre for Agricultural Biotechnology (DBT-NECAB), Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat was utilized for the present study.

Effect of bio pesticide formulations on non-target insects under field conditions

Field evaluation was done regarding the effect of the biopesticide formulations against the beneficial insect population. For that, a particular plot in the Experimental Farm of the Department of Horticulture, AAU, Jorhat. The experiment was laid out in field conditions endorsing randomized block design (RBD), with three replications.

The net area for the experiment was 200 sq.m (20m x 10m). Then the area was divided into 3 blocks. Each block was further divided into 10 equal plots measuring 4 sq.m (2 m x 2 m) each, respectively. There were altogether 30 plots. Interspacing between blocks and plots were 1m and 0.5m, respectively. For the field experiment, tomato crop (variety: Pusaruby) was selected and cultivated with standard horticultural practices. Spacing was maintained at 75x30cm. First irrigation was provided immediately after transplanting and subsequent irrigations at an interval of (10-15) days depending on the soil and weather conditions. Garden hoe was used in the field at 20 and 40 DAP for weeding. Coccinellid beetles are present in a greater amount and their efficacy was tested against the respective biopesticides.

The biopesticide formulations were sprayed in recommended doses as mentioned in Table 1. The number of natural enemies was recorded after each treatment was given. Out of the sixteen plants present in a single plot, seven plants were selected for counting the beneficial insects, coccinellid beetles, and the data was recorded at 0, 3, 7, and 10 days of treatment (Kumar *et al.*, 2020), and the 2nd spray was given 15 days after the 1st spray for each of the 30 plots of tomato plants present in the field.

Table 1: Bio-pesticides used to study their effects against non-target insect pest

Bio-pesticides	Bio-agents present
Biosona @ 1%	<i>Beauveria bassiana</i>
Biollium @ 1%	<i>Lecanicillium lecanii</i>
Biometa @ 1%	<i>Metarhizium anisopliae</i>
Bioveer @ 1%	<i>Trichoderma viride</i>
Biogreen-5 @ 1%	<i>Trichoderma viride</i> and plant growth promoting microorganisms
Biozium @ 1%	<i>Trichoderma harzianum</i>
Biobt @ 1%	<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i>
Biomonas @ 1%	<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> , <i>Metarhizium anisopliae</i> ,
Biotime @ 1%	<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> and <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i>
Control (only water)	N/A

Effect of biopesticides on non-target insects under Pot condition

For the pot condition, a total of 30 pots were taken for the research work and the pots

were filled with the potting mixture. The okra seeds were planted in the filled pots and enough irrigation was provided so the plants could flourish well. The pots were seeded in March and the seedlings gave good vegetative growth in April 2022. A total of 3 replication consisting of 10 treatments per replication were done. For the pot experiment, okra crop (variety: Bhindi S-51) was planted with standard horticultural practices. First irrigation was done after sowing the seeds in the pots and subsequent irrigations were given based on the requirement of the plant and considering the soil and weather conditions. Only coccinellid beetles were maintained in a controlled environment inside a net house and

net chambers were installed for aphid and beetle rearing. Aphids were reared so that the coccinellids could feed on them and they were captured with in some insect-proof net chambers that were prepared specially for each of the 30 pots, respectively (Fig 1). An individual net chamber having a dimension of (1.5mx1m) was used for each pot and aphids were reared there to provide proper food for the beneficial non target insects, coccinellid beetles. The nine biopesticide formulations in recommended doses as mentioned in Table 1 were sprayed twice at 15 days intervals to count their effect on the beneficial insects, coccinellid beetles, and the data was recorded at 0, 3, 7 and 10 days of treatment.



Fig. 1(A-D): Collection and rearing of aphids and coccinellids (*Coccinella transversalis*)

- A) Artificial release of aphids in the okra leaves
- (B) and (C) Coccinellids collected from the external environment were reared
- (D) Releasing the coccinellids inside the net chambers
- (E) Pots with Okra seedlings
- (F) Tying the bamboo sticks with net chambers for each pot

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of bio-pesticide formulations on Non-target Insects

The biopesticide formulations, Bioveer, Biozium, Biomonas, Biobt, Biosona, Biometa, Biollium, Biotime, and Biogreen-5 consisting of microbial biocontrol agents as their key ingredient, developed by the Biocontrol Laboratory, Department of Plant Pathology under DBT- North East Centre for Agricultural Biotechnology (DBT-NECAB), Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat used for studying their effect on beneficial insects present in the vegetable ecosystem. The experiment was conducted in both field and pot conditions and

the data was recorded at 0, 3, 7 and 10 days intervals. Two sprays of the biopesticide formulations were given, the first spray was immediately after the plant reached vegetative growth and the 2nd spray was after 15 days of the previous spray.

Effect of biopesticide formulations on non-target insects under field conditions

The coccinellid count after 1st and 2nd sprays of different biopesticides showed no statistically significant difference as compared to the control as mentioned in Table 2. Thus, it can be concluded that there is no ill-effect of the biopesticides over the natural enemies, coccinellid beetles.

Table 2: Field effect of bio-pesticides against coccinellids (1st and 2nd spray-on Coccinellid Beetle count)

Treatments	Pre-treatment tcount*	1 st spray			Pre-treatment count*	2 nd spray		
		3 days*	7 days*	10 days*		3 days*	7 days*	10 days*
T ₁ (Bioveer@1%)	1.28	1.42	1.47	1.47	1.52	1.35	1.41	1.41
T ₂ (Biozium@1%)	1.23	1.38	1.42	1.47	1.52	1.33	1.44	1.46
T ₃ (Biobt@1%)	1.14	1.33	1.38	1.33	1.38	1.31	1.20	1.31
T ₄ (Biomonas@1%)	1.28	1.42	1.47	1.42	1.42	1.47	1.07	1.17
T ₅ (Biosona@1%)	1.18	1.28	1.68	1.38	1.38	1.43	1.27	1.39
T ₆ (Biollium@1%)	0.95	1.18	1.23	1.28	1.23	1.32	1.21	1.39
T ₇ (Biometa@1%)	0.99	1.13	1.09	1.14	1.18	1.13	1.35	1.41
T ₈ (Biotime@1%)	1.09	1.23	1.18	1.14	1.19	1.28	1.40	1.31
T ₉ (Biogreen-5@1%)	1.18	1.33	1.38	1.43	1.33	1.38	1.10	1.30
T ₁₀ (CONTROL)	0.89	1.35	1.43	1.40	1.42	1.48	1.30	1.33
SE± (d)	0.45	0.30	0.32	0.25	0.27	0.20	0.16	0.14
C.D.at5 %	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

*Mean of three replications

Effect of biopesticide formulations on on-target insects under pot conditions

In the pot condition, it was observed that there was no statistically significant difference in coccinellid beetles between the control pot and the treated pots, both after 1st and 2nd spray of biopesticides (Table 3). Thus, biopesticides can be applied at recommended doses producing nonnegative effect on the non-targeted insect, coccinellid beetles. The interaction between natural enemies in the field is crucial for determining the success of biological control programs. Numerous studies have examined the interactions between entomopathogenic fungi (EPFs) and other biological control agents, such as parasitoids and predators

(Bayissa *et al.*, 2016). In our study, results obtained by experimenting with two biopesticide sprays align with findings by Rizwan *et al.* (2021), who investigated the effects of *Beauveria bassiana* (Balsamo) Vuillemin and *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Metschnikoff) Sorokin on the life table parameters of the generalist predator, *Coccinella septempunctata* L. Their study concluded that *B. bassiana* and *M. anisopliae* do not significantly impact the performance and biology of *C. septempunctata*. This compatibility suggests that both EPFs can be effectively integrated into pest management programs involving *C. septempunctata*.

Table 3: Pot condition effect of bio-pesticides against coccinellid beetles (1st and 2nd spray)

Treatments	Pre-treatment count*	1 st spray			Pre-treatment count*	2 nd spray		
		3 days*	7 days*	10 days*		3 days*	7 days*	10 days*
T ₁ (Bioveer@1%)	3.00	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.67
T ₂ (Biozium@ 1%)	3.00	2.67	3.00	2.67	2.33	2.67	2.67	2.33
T ₃ (Biobt@ 1%)	3.00	3.00	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.67
T ₄ (Biomonas@ 1%)	3.00	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.67
T ₅ (Biosona@1%)	3.00	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.67
T ₆ (Biollium@ 1%)	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.67	2.67	2.67
T ₇ (Biometa@ 1%)	3.00	3.00	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.67
T ₈ (Biotime@ 1%)	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.67	2.33	2.33
T ₉ (Biogreen-5@ 1%)	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.67	2.67
T ₁₀ (CONTROL)	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.33
SE± (d)	0.00	0.29	0.33	0.39	0.39	0.44	0.47	0.47
C.D.at5 %	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

*Mean of three replications

Further supporting this, Sayed *et al.* (2021) assessed the pathogenicity of a local *Beauveria bassiana* isolate on all developmental stages of the predators *Coccinella undecimpunctata* and *Hippodamia variegata*. Their findings indicated no significant adverse effects on predator mortality or key biological parameters, including survival, development duration, adult longevity, and fecundity. Similarly, Ramanujam *et al.* (2017) found that *B. bassiana* is safe for *C. septempunctata* and effectively controls *Brevicoryne brassicae* (L.) under field conditions. Additionally, Ullah *et al.* (2019) evaluated the virulence of *Isaria fumosorosea* and *B. bassiana* against the reduviid predator *Rhynocoris marginatus* (Heterop, Reduviidae). They reported no significant impact on the predation and survival rates of this biological control agent. These findings collectively suggest that biopesticides or EPFs like *B. bassiana* and *M. anisopliae* are safe for coccinellid predators in the field, supporting their inclusion in integrated pest management strategies. Further, Waiganjo *et al.* (2007) investigated the use of entomopathogenic fungi and neem-based biopesticides for controlling pests in brassica crops while also aiming to conserve natural enemies within the same field. Their study, employing a randomized block design, demonstrated that biopesticides could be effectively integrated into pest management strategies without adversely affecting the populations of natural enemies such as coccinellids in fields infested with aphids and moths. Similarly, James *et al.* (1995) conducted a thorough field evaluation of the

entomopathogenic fungus *Beauveria bassiana*, examining its persistence and its effects on both the pea aphid and non-target coccinellid predators in alfalfa plants. Their findings indicated that *B. bassiana* did not harm the coccinellid population. Further supporting these findings, Agale *et al.* (2019) explored the impact of various biopesticides on natural enemies in pigeon-pea (*Cajanus cajan* L.) crops. Their field experiments revealed that all tested biopesticides were safe for natural enemies and even contributed to enhancing the activity and population of these beneficial insects in the pigeon-pea fields. Additionally, Thungrabeab (2006) examined the effects of *Beauveria bassiana* and *Metarhizium anisopliae* on non-target insects under laboratory conditions, concluding that both biopesticides were non-pathogenic to natural enemies. These studies collectively highlight that biopesticides, including entomopathogenic fungi and plant-based products, can be utilized in pest management programs without detrimental effects on natural enemy populations, thereby supporting the conservation of beneficial predators like coccinellids in agricultural ecosystems.

CONCLUSION

The above research findings showed that the used biopesticides in this study have no detrimental effects on natural enemies and will surely benefit the farmers as they can use biopesticides for the management of pests without having any detrimental effect on the natural enemy population, and thus eco-friendly management of pests can be achieved without

harming the environment. This work has demonstrated the feasibility of combining or integrating natural enemies with certain pesticides including systemic insecticides, insect growth regulators, selective feeding blockers, microbials, miticides, and fungicides.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors are thankful to the Director of

Research (Agri.), and the Director of Post Graduate Studies, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat, Assam, India, for providing all necessary facilities and support for the successful completion of the study. The corresponding author is also thankful to the Department of Biotechnology, Govt. of India for financial support through project No.BT/NER/143/SP42744/2021; Date-01/10/2021

REFERENCES

- Agale, S.V., Rangarao, G.V., Ambhure, K.G., Gopalakrishnan, S. and Wani, S.P. (2019) Effect of selected bio-pesticides on natural enemies in pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L.) crop. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, **7**(2): 91-95.
- Ambethgar, A.S., Rameshkumar, A., Krishna, K.R. and Sundaresan, S. (2024) Biological Control of Pests in Major Tropical Vegetable Crops: A Review. *Agricultural Reviews*, R-2635: 1-16.
- Amichot, M., Curty, C., Benguettat-Magliano, O., Gallet, A. and Wajnberg, E. (2016) Side effects of *Bacillus thuringiensis* var. kurstaki on the hymenopterous parasitic wasp *Trichogramma chilonis*. *Environmental science and pollution research international*, **23**(4):3097–3103.
- Bayissa, W., Ekesi, S., Mohamed, S.A., Kaaya, G.P., Wagacha, J.M., Hanna, R., and Maniania, N.K. (2016) Interactions among vegetable-infesting aphids, the fungal pathogen *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Ascomycota: Hypocreales) and the predatory coccinellid *Cheilomenes lunata* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). *Biocontrol Science and Technology*, **26**(2), 274-290.
- Bhattacharyya, P.N., Sarma, B., Sarmah, S.R., Nath, B.C., Borchetia, S., Rahman, A., Madhab, M., Bhattacharyya, L.H., Handique, C., Mazumder, M.K., and Bhattacharyya, A. (2024) Entomopathogen-based biological control of looper pests (old looper, *Biston* (= *Buzura*) *suppressaria* and emerging looper, *Hyposidra Talaca*): an in vitro sustainable approach for tea pest management. *Int J Trop Insect Sci*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42690-024-01268-8>
- Bhattacharyya, P.N., Sarmah, S.R., Roy, S. Sarma, B., Nath, B.C. and Bhattacharyya, L.H. (2022) Perspectives of *Beauveria bassiana*, an entomopathogenic fungus for the control of insect-pests in tea [*Camellia sinensis* (L.) O. Kuntze]: opportunities and challenges. *International Journal of Tropical Insect Science*, 1-19.
- Bhattacharyya, P.N., Sarmah, S.R., Roy, S., Sarma, B., Nath, B.C., Bhattacharyya, L.H. (2023) Perspectives of *Beauveria bassiana*, an entomopathogenic fungus for the control of insect-pests in tea [*Camellia sinensis* (L.) O. Kuntze]: opportunities and challenges. *International Journal of Tropical Insect Science*, **43**: 1-19.
- Bora, L.C., Kataki, L., Talukdar, L., Nath, B.C. and Sarkar, R. (2015) Molecular characterizations of microbial antagonists and development of bioformulations for management of bacterial wilt of naga chilli (*Capsicum chinens* Jacq.) in Assam. *Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences*, **3**(2): 109-122.
- Bora, P. and Bora, L.C. (2020) Disease management in horticulture crops through microbial interventions: An overview. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 90(8):1389-1396.
- Bora, P., and Rahman, M. (2022) Microbes Knocking Louder Roles for Next Green Revolution: A Meta-Analysis. *Annals of Plant and Soil Research*, **24**(4), 552-563.
- Bora, P., Saikia, B., Rahman, M., Ahmed, S.S., Chetia, R., Rahman, N., Nath, B.C. and Raja, W.H. (2024) Enhancing the performance of chilli (*Capsicum annum*) through twin role of plant growth promotion and disease suppression via *Bacillus subtilis*-based bioformulation. *The*

- Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **94**(1): 039-043.
- Borkakati, R.N., Saikia, D.K., and Buragohain, P. (2018) Natural enemy fauna of paddy and horticultural ecosystems in upper Assam. *Indian Journal of Entomology*, **80**(3): 658-661.
- Borkakati, R.N., Saikia, D.K., Barman, S., Nath, B., Rahman, N., and Nath, H.D. (2019) Eco-friendly way of management of banana leaf and fruit scarring beetle, *Basilepta subcostata* (Jacoby) (Chrysomelidae: Coleoptera). *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 2022, **11**(11): 1148-1150
- Das, S., Chatterjee, A. and Pal, T. (2020) Organic farming in India: a vision towards a healthy nation. *Food Quality and Safety*, **4**(2):69–76.
- Deka, B., Baruah, C. and Babu, A. (2021) Entomopathogenic microorganisms: their role in insect pest management. *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, **31**:121.
- Ghosh, S. K. (2022). Chapter-3 Population of Lady Bird Beetle on Vegetable Crops and use of Safe Pesticides for Biodiversity Conservation, *Entomology*, **27**: 41.
- James, R.R., Brenda T., Roft, B. and Lighthart, B. (1995) Field Evaluation of *Beauveria bassiana*: Its Persistence and Effects on the Pea Aphid and a Non-target Coccinellid in Alfalfa. *Biocontrol Science and Technology*, **5**(4):425-438.
- Kumar, S., Umrao, R., Kumar, A., Debnath, R., Kumar, A. and Patel, V. (2020) Evaluation of the efficacy of insecticides and bio-pesticides against *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) on tomato. *Journal of entomology and zoology studies*, 555-558.
- Melnick, R., Stoneman, B., Marrone, P.G., Messerschmidt, O. and Donaldson, M. (2009) Biopesticide use and attitudes survey results. Biopesticide Industry Alliance.
- Mie, A., Andersen, H.R., Gunnarsson, S. *et al.* (2017) Human health implications of organic food and organic agriculture: a comprehensive review. *Environmental health perspectives*, **16**:111.
- Nath, B.C., Bora, L.C., Kataki, L., Talukdar, K., Sharma, P., Dutta, J., and Khan, P. (2016). Plant growth promoting microbes, their compatibility analysis and utility in biointensive management of bacterial wilt of tomato. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, **5**(6): 1007-1016.
- Ramanujam, B., Japur, K., and Poornesha, B. (2017) Field evaluation of entomopathogenic fungi against cabbage aphid, *Brevicoryne brassicae* (L.) and their effect on coccinellid predator, *Coccinella septempunctata* (Linnaeus). *Journal of Biological Control*, 168-171.
- Riddick, E.W., Cottrell, T.E. and Kidd, K.A. (2009) Natural enemies of the Coccinellidae: Parasites, pathogens, and parasitoids. *Biological Control*, **51**:306–312.
- Rizwan, M., Atta, B., Arshad, M., Khan, R.R., Dageri, A., Rizwan, M., and Ullah, M.I. (2021) Nondetrimental impact of two concomitant entomopathogenic fungi on life history parameters of a generalist predator, *Coccinella septempunctata* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). *Scientific Reports*, **11**(1), 20699.
- Sayed, S., Elarnaouty, S.A., AlOtaibi, S., and Salah, M. (2021) Pathogenicity and Side Effect of Indigenous *Beauveria bassiana* on *Coccinella undecimpunctata* and *Hippodamia variegata* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). *Insects*, **12**, 42.
- Thungrabeab, M. (2006) Efficiency of different entomopathogenic fungi isolates from Thailand as biological control agents against *Frankliniella occidentalis* (PERGANDE) and *Thrips tabaci* LINDEMAN (Thysanoptera: Thripidae). *Cuvillier Verlag*.
- Ullah, M.I., Altaf, N., Afzal, M., Arshad, M., Mehmood, N., Riaz, M., Majeed, S., Ali, S. and Abdullah, A. (2019) Effects of entomopathogenic fungi on the biology of *Spodoptera litura* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and its reduviid predator, *Rhynocoris marginatus* (Heteroptera: Reduviidae). *International Journal of Insect Science*, **11**, 1-7.
- Waiganjo, M.M., Waturu, C.N., Mureithi, J.M., Muriuki, J., Kamau, J. and Munene, R. (2007) Use of entomopathogenic fungi and neem bio-pesticides for brassica pests control and conservation of their natural enemies. *Kenya Agricultural Research Institute*, **1**:545-549.